UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,757	07/15/2003	Patrick J. Sweeney	029815-0101	7389
23524 FOLEY & LAR	7590 10/24/200 RDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
150 EAST GILL		PHILOGENE, PEDRO		
P.O. BOX 1497 MADISON, WI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/0	619,757	SWEENEY, PAT	SWEENEY, PATRICK J.			
Office Action Summary			miner	Art Unit				
		Ped	ro Philogene	3733				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply apply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE ( of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I nunication. atutory period will apply will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNI n no event, however, may a y and will expire SIX (6) MOI the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) file	ad on 12 May 20	ากล					
· —	•	2b)⊠ This actio						
<b>—</b>		<i>/</i> —		ters prosecution as to th	na marite is			
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	closed in accordance with the practi	ce dildei Ex pai	ic Quayle, 1999 O.L	2. 11, <del>1</del> 00 0. <b>0</b> . 210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-3,8-10,13-16 and 27-41</u> i	s/are pending in	the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,8-10,13-16,27-41</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or elec	tion requirement.					
	on Papers		•					
	-							
•	The specification is objected to by th							
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	•	· -	=				
	Applicant may not request that any obje			, ,				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is	required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 (	CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notice Notice (3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Foration Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 				

Art Unit: 3733

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 13-16, 27-33, 36-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Ferree et al. (7,235,102).

With respect to claims 1, 15, 27, 30, 31, 33, 36, 38 Ferree et al disclose a stabilizing element (124) a scaffold assembly comprising a first base and a second base, as best seen in FIGS.23A-C; at least one or more appendages (126) removably attached to the first or the second base, such that the bases and the appendage forming a cage between the first and the second vertebrae, wherein the stabilizing element (124) is retained in the cage without being rigidly attached to the scaffold assembly, and wherein the stabilizing element may be removed from the cage through an opening created by removing at least one of the appendages(126) a first plate and a second plate; as set forth in column 8 lines 21-26; and as best seen in FIG.23C, the first plate positioned above the stabilizing element and the second plate positioned below the stabilizing element, the second plate disposed opposite and in substantially parallel relation to the first plate, such that the stabilizing element is retained between the first and second plates, wherein the first plate and the second plate have a high friction outer

surfaces. The stabilizing element is a disc prosthesis; the stabilizing element is also a fusion element, the plates are rigidly attached to the vertebrae and the spring is being used a shock absorber, the scaffold is made of titanium. A stabilizing means (124), a retainer means (126) that is removably attached, wherein the first and second bases plates are ring-shaped. The scaffold assembly comprises a material selected from metal ceramic and plastic, cobalt or titanium, as set forth in column 4, lines 53-62;

A method comprising removing a first stabilizing element from the cage and inserting a second stabilizing element inot the cage, wherein the first stabilizing element is a disc prosthesis and the second stabilizing element is a fusion prosthesis; as set forth in column 8, lines 1-20, lines 47-55.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferree et al (7,235,102) in view of Baumgartner (5,370,697).

With respect to claim 8, it is noted that Ferree et al did not teach of a first plate and a second plate parallel to the first plate such that the stabilizing element is retained between the first and second plates, as claim by applicant. However, in similar art, Baumgartner evidences the use of an intervertebral disc member with first and second plates to produce a good load distribution and transfer.

Therefore, given the teaching of Baumgartner, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Ferree et al as taught by Baugartner by providing a first plate and second plate parallel to the first plate, such that the stabilizing element is retained therebetween to produce a good load distribution and transfer.

Claims 9,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fehrree et al (7,235,102) in view of Baumgartner (5,370,697) in view of Parsons et al. (5,545,229).

With respect to claims 9, 10, it is noted that the above combination of references did not teach of the first plate and the second plate having high and low friction outer surfaces; as claimed by applicant. However, in similar art, Parsons et al evidences the use of an intervertebral disc spacer with high and low friction mechanism incorporated in the outer surface for attachment to adjacent bony vertebral bodies.

Therefore, given the teaching of Parsons et al, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Ferree et al, as taught by Parsons et al to provide disc spacer with high and low friction mechanism incorporated in the outer surface for attachment to adjacent bony vertebral bodies.

Claims 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fehling et al (6,770,094) in view of Richelsoph (7,105,024) in view of Baumgatrner (5,370,697).

Art Unit: 3733

It is noted that the above combination of references did not teach of buttresses attached to the first and second bases; as claimed by applicant. However, in similar art, Baumgartner evidences the use of an intervertebral disc having buttresses (16, 17) to form a limit or restriction to the relative movement of the plates.

Therefore, given the teaching of Baumgartner, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Fehling et al, as taught by Baumgartner, by incorporating buttresses to form a limit or restriction to the relative movement of the plates.

## Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks , filed 5/12/08, with respect to claims 1-3,13-14,27,29,30,38 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of the claims have been withdrawn. However, a new ground of rejections is made in view of Ferree et al. Since applicant's main arguments were to the fact that Fehling et al or Richelsoph did not teach of an artificial disc prosthesis system comprising in combination with other elements, an appendage that is removably attached or removably coupled.... the stabilizing element may be removed... through an opening created by removing at least one of the appendages... and into or out of which the stabilizing element may be inserted or extracted, the examiner agrees with applicant. However, Ferree et al in a similar art, discloses an artificial disc prosthesis system comprising in combination with other elements, an appendage that is removably attached or removably coupled.... the stabilizing element may be removed... through an opening created by removing at least one of the appendages... and into or out of which

Art Unit: 3733

the stabilizing element may be inserted or extracted; as best seen in FIGS.23A-C and as set forth in column 7, lines 60-67, column 8, lines 1-55.

## Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272 - 4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,757 Page 7

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Pedro Philogene/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3733 October 23, 2008